Introduction to IPv6

ISP Workshops



These materials are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Last updated 18th February 2017

Acknowledgements

- This material originated from the Cisco ISP/IXP Workshop Programme developed by Philip Smith & Barry Greene
- Use of these materials is encouraged as long as the source is fully acknowledged and this notice remains in place
- Bug fixes and improvements are welcomed
 - Please email workshop (at) bgp4all.com

Philip Smith

Early Internet History

Late 1980s

Exponential growth of the Internet

- Late 1990: CLNS proposed as IP replacement
- **1991-1992**
 - Running out of "class-B" network numbers, blocks of "class-Cs" handed out instead
 - Exponential growth of the "default-free" routing table
 - Eventual exhaustion of 32-bit address space
- Two IETF efforts short-term vs. long-term
 - More at "The Long and Windy ROAD" http://rms46.vlsm.org/1/42.html

Early Internet History

CIDR and Supernetting proposed in 1992-3

Deployment started in 1994

IETF "ipng" solicitation – RFC1550, Dec 1993

- Resulted in many proposals:
 - TUBA RFC1347, June 1992
 - PIP RFC1621, RFC1622, May 1994
 - CATNIP RFC1707, October 1994
 - □ SIPP RFC1710, October 1994
 - NIMROD RFC1753, December 1994
 - ENCAPS RFC1955, June 1996
 - etc

Direction and technical criteria for next generation of IP:

RFC1752, January 1995

Early Internet History → 1996

- IPv6 Specification (RFC1883) published in December 1995
- Other activities included:
 - Development of NAT, PPP, DHCP,...
 - Some IPv4 address reclamation
 - The RIR system was introduced
- $\Box \rightarrow$ Brakes were put on IPv4 address consumption
- IPv4 32 bit address = 4 billion hosts
 - HD Ratio (RFC3194) realistically limits IPv4 to 250 million hosts

Recent Internet History The "boom" years → 2001

IPv6 Development in full swing

- Rapid IPv4 consumption
- IPv6 specifications sorted out
- (Many) Transition mechanisms developed
- 6bone
 - Experimental IPv6 backbone sitting on top of Internet
 - Participants from over 100 countries
- Early adopters
 - Japan, Germany, France, UK,...

Recent Internet History The "bust" years: 2001 → 2004

The DotCom "crash"

- i.e. Internet became mainstream
- □ IPv4:
 - Consumption slowed
 - Address space pressure "reduced"
- Indifference
 - Early adopters surging onwards
 - Sceptics more sceptical
 - Yet more transition mechanisms developed

2004 → 2011

Resurgence in demand for IPv4 address space

- All IPv4 address space was allocated by IANA by 3rd February 2011
- Exhaustion predictions did range from wild to conservative
- ...but by early 2011 IANA had no more!
- ...and what about the market for address space?
- Market for IPv4 addresses:
 - Creates barrier to entry
 - Condemns the less affluent to tyranny of NATs
- IPv6 provides vast address space
 - The only compelling reason for IPv6

Current Situation

- General perception is that "IPv6 still has not yet taken hold"
 - IPv4 Address run-out is "headline news"
 - Yet more discussions and and plans on IPv4 run-out
 - Private sector is still demanding a business case to "migrate"
 - No easy Return on Investment (RoI) computation

But reality is very different from perception!

- IPv6 enabled networks see upwards of 60% of all traffic on IPv6
- Something needs to be done to sustain the Internet growth
- IPv6 or NAT or both or something else?

Internet population

- ~630 million users in 2002 10% of world pop.
- ~1320 million users in 2007 20% of world pop.
- ~2512 million users in 2012 35% of world pop.
- Doubles every 5 years (approximately)
- Future? (World pop. ~9B in 2050)
- US uses 96 /8s this is 5.0 IPv4 addresses per person
 - Repeat this the world over...
 - 7 billion population could require 35 billion IPv4 addresses
 - (9.4 times larger than the entire IPv4 address pool)

Other Internet Economies:

- China 20.2 IPv4 /8s
- Japan 12.1 IPv4 /8s
- UK 7.3 IPv4 /8s
- Germany 7.1 IPv4 /8s
- Korea
 6.7 IPv4 /8s
- Source: http://bgp.potaroo.net/iso3166/v4cc.html
- Emerging Internet economies need address space:
 - China would need more than a /4 of IPv4 address space if every student (320M) is to get an IPv4 address
 - India lives behind NATs (using only 2.2 /8s)
 - Africa lives behind NATs (using 4.5 /8s)

Mobile Internet is THE FUTURE

- Smartphones & Tablets >1.5 billion units in 2015
 Far in excess of declining PC market (290 million units)
 - Source: Gartner
- Enable through several technologies, eg: LTE/3G, 802.11,...
- Transportation Mobile Networks
 - >1B motor vehicles
 - Internet access on planes, trains,...
- Consumer, Home and Industrial Appliances
 - "Internet of Things"

RFC 1918 is not sufficient for large environments

- Cable Operators (e.g. Comcast NANOG37 presentation)
- Mobile providers (fixed/mobile convergence)
- Large enterprises
- The Policy Development process of the RIRs turned down a request to increase private address space
 - RIR community guideline is to use global addresses instead
 - This leads to an accelerated depletion of the global address space
- Some wanted 240/4 as new private address space
 - But how to back fit onto all TCP/IP stacks released since 1995?

Large variety of proposals to "help" with IPv6 deployment

NAT444

IPv4 NAT in Core and Edge

Dual Stack Lite and 464XLAT

Running IPv4 over an IPv6 backbone

Activity of IETF Softwires and v6ops Working Groups

NAT64

Translation between IPv6 and IPv4

Activity of IETF Behave Working Group

6rd

Dynamic IPv6 tunnel from SP to customer

Activity of IETF Softwires Working Group

IPv6 Geo-Politics

Regional and Countries IPv6 Task Force

- Europe www.ipv6-taskforce.org/
 - Belgium, France, Spain, Switzerland, UK,...
- North-America www.nav6tf.org/
- Japan IPv6 Promotion Council www.v6pc.jp/en/index.html
- China, Korea, India,...
- Relationship
 - Economic partnership between governments
 China-Japan, Europe-China,...
- Recommendations and project's funding
 - IPv6 2005 roadmap recommendations Jan. 2002
 - European Commission IPv6 project funding: 6DEPLOY & Euro6IX
- Tax Incentives
 - Japan only 2002-2003 program

Status in Internet Operational Community

- Service Providers get an IPv6 prefix from their regional Internet Registries
 - Very straight forward process when compared with IPv4
- List of IPv6 deployments
 - https://www.vyncke.org/ipv6status/
- Much discussion amongst operators about transition:
 - NOG experiments of 2008
 - http://www.civil-tongue.net/6and4/
 - What is really still missing from IPv6
 - http://www.nanog.org/meetings/nanog41/presentations/ Bush-v6-op-reality.pdf
 - Many presentations on IPv6 deployment experiences

Service Provider Status

- Many transit ISPs have "quietly" made their backbones IPv6 capable as part of infrastructure upgrades
 - Native is common (dual stack)
 - Providers using MPLS use 6PE/6VPE
 - Tunnels still used (despite significant community effort to discontinue them)
- Today finding IPv6 transit is simple
 - Not nearly as challenging as it was before 2010

OS, Services, Applications

Operating Systems

- MacOS X, Linux, BSD Family, many SYS V
- Windows: XP SP2 (hidden), Vista, 7, 8, 10
- All use IPv6 first if available
 - MacOS 10.7 has "happy eyeballs"
 - MacOS 10.11 has "happier eyeballs" IPv6 gets 30ms head start

Applications

- Browsers
 - Firefox, Chrome, Opera have "happy eyeballs"
- E-mail clients, IM, bittorrent,...

Services

DNS, Apache WebServer, E-mail gateways,...

Content

Content Availability

 Operators and end-users content needs to be on IPv4 and IPv6

Content & Social Media Providers:

- Google fully IPv6
- Facebook fully IPv6
- Akamai fully IPv6
- Cloudflare fully IPv6
- LinkedIn fully IPv6
- ...

More at:

https://www.vyncke.org/ipv6status/

Why are we still waiting...?

That killer application?

- Internet Gaming or Peer to Peer applications?
- IPv4 to run out?
 - Too late, it has!
- Our competitors?
 - Any network deployed since 2008 will be IPv6 capable
 - Even if not enabled!
- □ The end-user?
 - The end-user won't choose protocols
 - Remember "Turbo" button on early IBM PC clones?

The On-going Debate (1)

IPv6 Multihoming

- Same toolset as IPv4 long term non-scalable
- 'Ultimate Multihoming Solution' no nearer discovery
- Early rigid IPv6 address allocation model
 - Now removed across all RIR regions
 - "One size fits all" barrier to deployment:
 Only ISPs "should" get IPv6 space from RIRs
 Enterprises "should" get IPv6 space from ISPs only
 - Routing table entries matter, not the nature of business
 What is an ISP?
 - Today's simple model:
 - Network Operator gets from RIR
 - End user gets from Network Operator

The On-going Debate (2)

■ Not every IPv4 device is IPv6 capable

- Do we really need to replicate all IPv4 capability in IPv6 prior to considering deployment?
- "We have enough IPv4"
 - Those with plenty denying those with little/nothing
- Migration versus Co-existence
 - Realistically IPv6 and IPv4 will co-exist for many years
 - Dual-stack operating systems in network equipment makes this trivial

Why not use Network Address Translation?

- Private address space and Network address translation (NAT) could be used instead of IPv6
- But NAT has many serious issues:
 - Breaks the end-to-end model of IP
 - Breaks end-to-end network security
 - Serious consequences for Lawful Intercept
 - Non-NAT friendly applications means NAT has to be upgraded
 - Some applications don't work through NATs
 - Layered NAT devices
 - Mandates that the network keeps the state of the connections
 - How to scale NAT performance for large networks??
 - Makes fast rerouting and multihoming difficult
 - How to offer content from behind a NAT?

"The times, They are a' changin"



IPv4 Pool Status

Is IPv4 really running out?

Yes!

- IANA IPv4 free pool ran out on 3rd February 2011
- RIR IPv4 free pool is starting to run out now
 www.potaroo.net/tools/ipv4/
 - depends on RIR soft-landing policies)
- The runout gadgets and widgets are now watching when the RIR pools will run out:
 - inetcore.com/project/ipv4ec/index_en.html
 - (shows 1 RIR with no IPv4 left, and 3 out of 4 RIRs in run out austerity phase)
 - ipv6.he.net/statistics/



IPv4 run-out

Policy Development process in each RIR region has discussed and implemented many proposals relating to IPv4 run-out, for example:

- The Last /8
 - All RIRs received one /8 from the IANA free pool
- IPv4 address transfer
 - Permits LIRs to transfer address space to each other rather than returning to their RIR
- Soft landing
 - Reduce the allocation sizes for an LIR as IPv4 pool is depleted
- IPv4 distribution for IPv6 transition
 - Reserving a range of IPv4 address to assist with IPv6 transition (for Large Scale NATs etc)

Issues Today

More content needs to be available on IPv6

- Google, Akamai, etc all are dual stack now
- World IPv6 Day on 8th June 2011 helped a little
- World IPv6 Launch on 6th June 2012 helped a little more
- Giving IPv6 to customers might confuse'
 - Also increased tech support if IPv6 version of content is 'down', but IPv4 version works
- Happy eyeballs' (RFC6555) has made a significant difference
- Still need to 'prolong' IPv4 so there is time for all content to be available on IPv6

Conclusion

There is a need for a larger address space

- IPv6 offers this will eventually replace NAT
- But NAT will be around for a while too
- Market for IPv4 addresses looming also

Many network operators still in denial

Introduction to IPv6

ISP Workshops