BGP Attributes and Path Selection

ISP Workshops



These materials are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Last updated 12th October 2019

Acknowledgements

- This material originated from the Cisco ISP/IXP Workshop Programme developed by Philip Smith & Barry Greene
- Use of these materials is encouraged as long as the source is fully acknowledged and this notice remains in place
- Bug fixes and improvements are welcomed
 - Please email workshop (at) bgp4all.com

Philip Smith

BGP Attributes

BGP's policy tool kit

What Is an Attribute?

... Origin AS Path Next Hop MED ...


Part of a BGP Update

- Describes the characteristics of prefix
- Can either be transitive or non-transitive
- □ Some are mandatory


BGP Attributes

- Carry various information about or characteristics of the prefix being propagated
 - AS-PATH
 - NEXT-HOP
 - ORIGIN
 - AGGREGATOR
 - LOCAL_PREFERENCE
 - Multi-Exit Discriminator
 - (Weight)
 - COMMUNITY

AS-Path




AS-Path (with 16 and 32-bit ASNs)




7

AS-Path loop detection




Next Hop



Next Hop Best Practice


- The default behaviour is for external next-hop to be propagated unchanged to iBGP peers
 - This means that IGP has to carry external next-hops
 - Forgetting means external network is invisible
 - With many eBGP peers, it is unnecessary extra load on IGP
- ISP Best Practice is to change external next-hop to be that of the local router
 - Cisco IOS: neighbor x.x.x.x next-hop-self
 - JunOS: set policy-options policy-statement <name> term <name> then next-hop self

iBGP Next Hop



- Next hop is ibgp router loopback address
- Recursive route look-up

Third Party Next Hop



- eBGP between Router A and Router B
- eBGP between Router B and Router C
- 120.68.1/24 prefix has next hop address of 150.1.1.3 – this is used by Router A instead of 150.1.1.2 as it is on same subnet as Router B
- More efficient

150.1.1.3

No extra config needed

Next Hop (Summary)

- IGP should carry route to next hops
- Recursive route look-up
- Unlinks BGP from actual physical topology
- Use "next-hop-self" for external next hops
- Allows IGP to make intelligent forwarding decision


Origin


- Conveys the origin of the prefix
- Historical attribute
 - Used in transition from EGP to BGP
- Transitive and Mandatory Attribute
- Influences best path selection
- □ Three values: IGP, EGP, incomplete
 - IGP generated by BGP network statement
 - EGP generated by EGP
 - incomplete redistributed from another routing protocol


Aggregator


- Conveys the IP address of the router or BGP speaker generating the aggregate route
- Optional & transitive attribute
- Useful for debugging purposes
- Does not influence best path selection
- Creating aggregate using "aggregate-address" sets the aggregator attribute:

```
router bgp 100
address-family ipv4
aggregate-address 100.1.0.0 255.255.0.0
```











- Non-transitive and optional attribute
- Local to an AS only
 - Default local preference is 100 (IOS)
- Used to influence BGP path selection
 - Determines best path for outbound traffic
- Path with highest local preference wins


□ Configuration of Router B:


```
router bgp 400
address-family ipv4
neighbor 120.5.1.1 remote-as 300
neighbor 120.5.1.1 route-map LOCAL-PREF in
!
route-map LOCAL-PREF permit 10
match ip address prefix-list MATCH
set local-preference 800
!
route-map LOCAL-PREF permit 20
!
ip prefix-list MATCH permit 160.10.0.0/16
```





23





Multi-Exit Discriminator

- Inter-AS non-transitive & optional attribute
- Used to convey the relative preference of entry points
 - Determines best path for inbound traffic
- Comparable if paths are from same AS
 - bgp always-compare-med allows comparisons of MEDs from different ASes
 - Also available in JunOS:

set protocols bgp path-selection always-compare-med

- Path with lowest MED wins
- □ Absence of MED attribute implies MED value of **zero** (RFC4271)

Multi-Exit Discriminator

Configuration of Router B:

```
router bgp 400
address-family ipv4
neighbor 120.5.1.1 remote-as 200
neighbor 120.5.1.1 route-map SET-MED out
!
route-map SET-MED permit 10
match ip address prefix-list MATCH
set metric 1000
!
route-map SET-MED permit 20
!
ip prefix-list MATCH permit 120.68.1.0/24
```

Deterministic MED

IOS compares paths in the order they were received

Leads to inconsistent decisions when comparing MED

Deterministic MED

- Configure on all bgp speaking routers in AS
- Orders paths according to their neighbouring ASN
- Best path for each neighbour ASN group is selected
- Overall bestpath selected from the winners of each group

```
router bgp 10
bgp deterministic-med
```

- Deterministic MED is default in JunOS
 - Non-deterministic behaviour enabled with

set protocols bgp path-selection cisco-non-deterministic

MED & IGP Metric

IGP metric can be conveyed as MED

- set metric-type internal in route-map
 - Enables BGP to advertise a MED which corresponds to the IGP metric values
 - Changes are monitored (and re-advertised if needed) every 600s
 - Monitoring period can be changed using:


```
bgp dynamic-med-interval <secs>
```

Also available in JunOS:

set protocols bgp path-selection med-plus-igp

MED & IGP Metric

Example: IGP metric conveyed as MED




Weight

- Not really an attribute local to router
- Highest weight wins
- Applied to all routes from a neighbour:

```
neighbor 120.5.7.1 weight 100
```


Weight assigned to routes based on filter:

```
neighbor 120.5.7.3 filter-list 3 weight 50
```




- Best path to AS4 from AS1 is always via B due to local-pref
- But packets arriving at A from AS4 over the direct C to A link will pass the RPF check as that path has a priority due to the weight being set
 - If weight was not set, best path back to AS4 would be via B, and the RPF check would fail

Aside: What is uRPF?




- Router compares source address of incoming packet with FIB entry
 - If FIB entry interface matches incoming interface, the packet is forwarded
 - If FIB entry interface does not match incoming interface, the packet is dropped

Aside: What is uRPF?




- Router compares source address of incoming packet with FIB entry
 - If FIB entry interface matches incoming interface, the packet is forwarded
 - If FIB entry interface does not match incoming interface, the packet is dropped





- Best path to AS4 from AS1 is always via B due to local-pref
- But customers connected directly to Router A use the link to AS7 as best outbound path because of the high weight applied to routes heard from AS7
 - If the A to D link goes down, then the Router A customers see best path via Router B and AS4


Community


- Communities are described in RFC1997
 - Transitive and Optional Attribute
- 32 bit integer
 - Represented as two 16 bit integers (RFC1998)
 - Common format is <local-ASN>:xx
 - 0:0 to 0:65535 and 65535:0 to 65535:65535 are reserved
- Used to group destinations
 - Each destination could be member of multiple communities
- Very useful in applying policies within and between ASes


















43



Well-Known Communities


Several well known communities

www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-well-known-communities

Six most common:


no-export	65535:65281
Do not advertise to any eBGP peers	
no-advertise	65535:65282
Do not advertise to any BGP peer	
no-export-subconfed	65535:65283
Do not advertise outside local AS (BGP confederations)	
no-peer	65535:65284
Do not advertise to bi-lateral peers (RFC3765)	
 Blackhole 	65535:666
Null route the prefix (RFC7999)	
 Graceful shutdown 	65535:0
Indicate imminent graceful shutdown (RFC8326)	

No-Export Community



- AS100 announces aggregate and subprefixes
 - Intention is to improve loadsharing by leaking subprefixes
- Subprefixes marked with no-export community
- Router G in AS200 does not announce prefixes with no-export community set

No-Peer Community



- Sub-prefixes marked with no-peer community are not sent to bi-lateral peers
 - They are only sent to upstream providers

Vendor Policy implementation

- Be aware that each vendor has differing policy language behaviours for:
 - Treatment of well known communities
 - Setting communities
 - Removing communities
 - Replacing communities
- Consult
 - https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8651.txt for discussion of some of the issues for operators
 - Vendor documentation

What about 4-byte ASNs?

- Communities are widely used for encoding ISP routing policy
 - 32 bit attribute
- RFC1998 format is now "standard" practice
 - ASN:number
- Fine for 2-byte ASNs, but 4-byte ASNs cannot be encoded

Solutions:

- Use "private ASN" for the first 16 bits
- RFC8092 "BGP Large Communities"

BGP Large Community Attribute

- New attribute designed to accommodate:
 - Local 32-bit ASN
 - Local Operator Defined Action (32-bits)
 - Remote Operator Defined Action (32-bits)
- This allows operators using 32-bit ASNs to peer with others using 32-bit ASNs and define policy actions
 - Compare with standard Communities which only accommodated 16-bit ASNs and 16-bits of action

BGP Large Community Examples

Some examples using common community conventions

- (see BGP Community presentation for more detailed examples of typical ISP BGP Community policy)
- **131072:3:131074**

 AS 131072 requests AS 131074 to do a three times prepend of this prefix on AS 131074's peerings

131072:0:131074

• AS 131072 requests AS 131074 not to announce this prefix

Summary Attributes in Action

RPKI validation codes: V valid, I invalid, N Not found

Network	Next Hop	Metric	LocPrf	Weight	Path
*> 10.10.0/26	0.0.0	0		32768	i
* i 10.10.0.0/20	10.10.15.226	0	100	0	i
* i	10.10.15.225	0	100	0	i
*>	0.0.0	0		32768	i
*>i 10.10.0.64/26	10.10.15.225	0	100	0	i
*>i 10.10.0.128/26	10.10.15.226	0	100	0	i
* i 10.20.0.0/26	10.10.15.226	0	100	0	20 i
*>i	10.10.15.225	0	100	0	20 i
* i 10.20.0.0/20	10.10.15.226	0	100	0	20 i
*>i	10.10.15.225	0	100	0	20 i

BGP Path Selection Algorithm

Why is this the best path?

BGP Path Selection Algorithm: Part One

- 1. Do not consider path if no route to next hop
- 2. Do not consider iBGP path if not synchronised (historical)
- 3. Highest weight (local to router)
- 4. Highest local preference (global within AS)
- 5. Prefer locally originated route
- 6. Shortest AS path
- 7. Lowest origin code
 - IGP < EGP < incomplete

BGP Path Selection Algorithm: Part Two

- 8. Lowest Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)
 - Cisco IOS: if bgp deterministic-med, order the paths by AS number before comparing
 - Cisco IOS: if bgp always-compare-med, then compare for all paths
 - Otherwise only consider MEDs if paths are from the same neighbouring AS
- 9. Prefer eBGP path over iBGP path
- 10. Path with lowest IGP metric to next-hop

BGP Path Selection Algorithm: Part Three

- 11. For eBGP paths:
 - Cisco IOS: if multipath is enabled, install N parallel paths in forwarding table
 - If router-id is the same, go to next step
 - Cisco IOS: if router-id is not the same, select the oldest path
- 12. Lowest router-id (originator-id for reflected routes)
- 13. Shortest cluster-list
 - Client must be aware of Route Reflector attributes!
- 14. Lowest neighbour address

BGP Attributes and Path Selection

ISP Workshops